

Originally printed in the Oakland Press on October 24, 2010, this version is modified to include footnote for Justice Ginsburg quote.

Progressives of both Parties wage war against the unborn

The up-coming election on November 2nd has been called a referendum on government expansionism but it is also an opportunity to reject a pervasive, eugenics philosophy as articulated by Supreme Court Justice Ruth Ginsburg. In a 2009 New York Times interview, she said, *“Frankly I had thought that at the time Roe was decided, there was concern about population growth and particularly growth in populations that we don't want to have too many of.”*¹ Eugenics justifies killing unwanted, burdensome human beings to solve social problems.

Eugenics fell out of favor after it ran amok in Nazi Germany, but the American version continued well into the 1960s with policies of forced sterilizations of poor or minority women². As forced sterilizations became more politically incorrect, abortion and subsidized “reproductive health care” became the favored tools for population control of undesirables. Because the same groups that provide “reproductive care” also provide abortions (e.g. Planned Parenthood), the abortion rate for minorities has sky-rocketed since the Supreme Court legalized it. In Michigan, almost half of all abortions are performed on non-white women³. An excellent documentary, *Maafa 21*, exposes the efforts of the abortion rights movement and its allies in government to legalize abortion and then facilitate its use as a population control tool of undesirables. The interested reader can find *Maafa 21* in segments on YouTube. Especially noteworthy is segment 9 (www.youtube.com/user/Maafa21#p/u/9/1VZgxBxEcDA) which shows the abortion-promoting activities of high government officials from both political parties during the late 1960s and early 1970s.

Today's moderate Republicans and progressive Democrats are successors to those elites. No one would admit to being a eugenicist; the voter needs to look at the voting records of “progressives” and “moderates” to find truth.

The “progressives” aggressively promote abortion at home and abroad, as the Obama administration and its allies in Congress (including Gary Peters of the 9th District) have been doing since Day 2 in office. While moderate Republicans supports abortion quietly; the moderate Democrat tries to limit it. An example of each type of moderate is Michael Castle (R-DE) and Dan Lipinski (D-IL). On two pieces of legislation to fund abortions in minority-dominant D.C. and fund #1 abortion provider Planned Parenthood, Republican Castle and Democrat Peters voted Yes. Democrat Lipinski voted No⁴.

To the pro-life voter, a moderate Democrat is preferred over a moderate Republican. Unfortunately, moderate Democrats became nearly non-existent after Speaker Nancy Pelosi pummeled most of them into submission during the healthcare debate. The last moderate Democrat in Michigan decisively lost the gubernatorial primary to a man who boasts of his daughters' right to have his grandchildren aborted. That he would boast of such an appalling family attribute says much about his belief in the voters' indifference to the unborn.

Voters should take note that any government that would wage war against the unborn as aggressively as the Obama administration has, would have no reluctance to turn on you or loved ones who become burdensome. If you do not want your hard-earned tax dollars to fund abortion here or used to promote it abroad and if you believe that killing human beings solves no social problems, then carefully choose for whom you vote. Check out www.rtl.org and click on Personalized Ballot for pro-life recommendations.

1 The entire quote as provided by a comment poster to the on-line article in the Oakland Press is: *“Frankly I had thought that at the time Roe was decided, there was concern about population growth and particularly growth in populations that we don't want to have too many of. So that Roe was going to be then set up for Medicaid funding for abortion. Which some people felt would risk coercing women into having abortions when they didn't really want them. But when the court decided McRae, the case came out the other way. And then I realized that my perception of it had been altogether wrong.”*
Internet access October 28, 2010, www.theoaklandpress.com/articles/2010/10/23/opinion/doc4cc3a3f934f8b800989061.txt

2 “War against the Weak”, Edwin Black, 2003 (“All told, an estimated 70,000 were eugenically sterilized in the first seven decades of the twentieth century” p398).

3 Michigan Department of Community Health 2009 Abortion Statistics – Table 17, Internet accessed October 9, 2010 (www.mdch.state.mi.us/pha/osr/abortion/Abortrace.asp)

4 NRLC Scorecard for the 111th Congress, Internet accessed October 9, 2010 (www.capwiz.com/nrlc/scorecard.xc?chamber=H&state=US&session=111&x=8&y=14)